

## **1. Teaching skills - MATTHEW BADDORF**

### **Comments:**

- Very clear and easy to understand. Cared about what he was talking about, and was always enthusiastic
- Professor Baddorf did a good job in explaining materials and helping students understand them.

## **2. Rapport with students - MATTHEW BADDORF**

### **Comments:**

- Prof. Baddorf was always available when needed. He always listens to what students have to say.
- Professor Baddorf is very approachable.

## **3. Quality of exams/assignments - MATTHEW BADDORF**

### **Comments:**

- You can't really go wrong with assigning essays. They were always insightful and though provoking
- The essay assignments were clear and helpful.

## **4. Organization - MATTHEW BADDORF**

### **Comments:**

- Prof. Baddorf's classes are never boring, and he is always prepared with material and/or things to talk about.
- The organization is usually pretty good.

## **5. Comments on instructor - MATTHEW BADDORF**

### **What are the major strengths of this instructor?**

- Prof. Baddorf's greatest strengths are his approachability and his enthusiasm for ethics and writing.
- Approachable, willing to help.
- I think you set good goals for each assignment and helped me craft strong essays.
- Professor Baddorf displays a great amount of respect for his students as well as a passion for teaching. These two characteristics make his class easy to understand and enjoy.
- really cared about success of students
- He's really good at listening and is very organized. He picked some good papers to have our class read. He tries to make things interesting. He is understanding.
- Professor Baddorf is sincere and really wants to help his students become better writers.
- likable guy. Easy to approach and gave very valuable insight.

### **Please share any suggestions for improvement**

- In all honesty, nothing should really be changed.
- Maybe some materials could be more interesting.
- Sometimes your assignments/feedback could be wordy and hard to understand.
- I have no suggestions.
- He should be more confident and assertive. He should also be more communicative and honest when editing papers.
- I feel as though Professor Baddorf could have more experience leading discussions.

## **6. Comments on course**

### **What are the major strengths of this course?**

- Thought provoking and meaningful content while improving your writing ability.
- Helped me to practice writing.
- Strengthened core writing skills.
- The major strengths of this course are the continuation of previous expectations with every new assignment-- you cannot forget the skills that you have already been taught.
- It introduced to important aspects of writing that we might not have been previously aware of.
- Very clear in what was required. Very discussion based so that kept things interesting

### **Please share any suggestions for improvement**

- Nothing really, for those who enjoy writing it is a perfect stepping stone.
- Could have some more interesting pieces of writing.
- The actual class period was not effective or interesting. We hardly did things of value in class time. The assignments were good, but class was definitely lacking. I felt like we were just filling the time with pointless activities and conversations that weren't interesting or relevant to the course description.
- I felt that some of the readings were slightly too long and drawn out.
- Peer editing as an assignment was futile because students just wanted to get it over with and never really tried to honestly edit a paper. I did not benefit at all from my peer edits and probably wasted my time trying to do it well for others when they don't even look at them. I was also hoping the class would be more about ethics than it was.